arXiv:1512.08840v1 [math.AG] 30 Dec 2015

$\mathbb{Q}$-HOMOLOGY PLANES PAIRS WITH $\overline{\kappa} = 1$

SAGAR KOLTE

Abstract. A pair (S, C) is called a singular $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane pair if S is a singular projective surface with only quotient singularities having the same rational homology as $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $C \subset S$ has the same rational homology as $\mathbb{P}^1$. We will prove results concerning smooth rational curves on S and the singularities of S. Such that $\overline{\kappa}(S^0) = 1$ and $\overline{\kappa}(S - C) \neq -\infty$. We end with an example of such pairs.

1. Introduction

In this paper all varieties will be over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. By $\overline{\kappa}$ we denote the logarithmic Kodaira dimension ([5][Chapter 11]) of a smooth open algebraic surface.

Let S be a projective surface with only quotient singularities such that $H_i(S, \mathbb{Q}) = H_i(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let S^0 be the smooth locus of S. Let C be a rational curve in S^0 with only cuspidal singularities. It is easy to see that $S - C$ is an $\mathbb{A}^2 - \mathbb{Q}$-Homology Plane. The pair (S, C) is called a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane pair.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\overline{\kappa}(S^0 - C) = 1$ and $\overline{\kappa}(S - C) \neq -\infty$ then C has at most two cusps and there is a smooth rational curve $\theta \subset S^0$ passing through the cusps of C.*

As a consequence of this we have:

Corollary 1.2. *The following hold:*

1. *$S - \theta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane,*
2. *S has at most one singularity,*
3. *If $\pi : S' \to S$ is a resolution of the singularity of S and $E = \pi^{-1}(Sing(S)) \subset S'$, then E is an irreducible rational curve.*

It might be noted that the links of Quotient singular points of surfaces have a seifert fibration. It is of considerable interest(see [6][Section 3]) to understand the H-cobordisms of seifert fibrations. Theorem 1.1 and

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J26, 14R25

Corollary 1.2 imply that if $\overline{\kappa}(S^0 - C) = 1$, then there is another curve θ such that $S-(p\cup\theta)$ is a H-cobordism of the link of the singularity p of S.

The Corollary finds a more technical proof in another preprint by the author and R.V. Gurjar and D-S. Hwang. Theorem 1.1 substantially simplifies the proof and addresses the question of H-cobordisms mentioned above.
By a result of Y. Kawamata, the hypothesis $\overline{\kappa}(S^0 - C) = 1$ implies that $S^0 - C$ admits a unique $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration. This naturally leads us to consider $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibrations on $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes. In this context the following result(Lemma 2.10, [7]) is useful:

Lemma 1.3 (Miyanishi-Sugie)**.** *Let X be an affine $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration, $f : X \to B$. Then we have:*

1. *B is either $\mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{A}^1$. If the fibration is twisted then $C \cong \mathbb{A}^1$.*
2. *If $B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ then every fiber of f is irreducible and there is exactly one fiber isomorphic to A^1.*
3. *if $B \cong A^1$ and f is untwisted, all fibers are untwisted except one which consists of two irreducible components. If $\mathbb{C} \cong A^1$ and f is twisted, all fibers are irreducible and there is exactly one fiber isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1$.*

We setup some notation. $\pi : \tilde{S} \to S$ is a resolution of singularities of S and C. Assume that $\pi^{-1}(C)$ is SNC-minimal and $\pi^{-1}(Sing(S))$ is minimal. Let $D := \pi^*(C)$ and $E := \pi^{-1}(Sing(S))$.

In the rest of this work we will use the terminology developed by T. Fujita(see [1][§.3]) in describing divisors on a surface.

By hypothesis C is a rational curve in S^0 with cuspidal singularities. If C is uni-cuspidal then we have the following description (see [9]) of D: $D = B \cup C' \cup T_2 \cup T_3$. Here C' is the proper transform of C, B is a branch of D with branching number 3. The curves C', T_2, T_3 are branches of B where T_2 is a linear chain of rational curves, T_3 is a tree of rational curves such that the irreducible components of T_3 have branching number at most 3. Further $B \cup T_2 \cup T_3$ can be blown down to a smooth point. B is the only curve in D with self intersection -1.

2. Uni-Cuspidal Rational Curves

In this section we prove some results about uni-cuspidal rational curves such that $C' \cdot C' \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *If C is uni-cuspidal and $C' \cdot C' = 1$ then C is smooth.*

Proof. Let $h : H \to \tilde{S}$ be a blow up at a smooth point of D on C'. The proper transform of C' under h will be denoted by C'_h. Clearly $C'_h \cdot C'_h = 0$, hence C'_h is a full fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration f on H. Let l be the (-1) curve in the total transform of D under h. Let D_h denote the total transform of D under h. Then clearly $H - D_h$ has a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration which we denote by f. As T_2 and T_3 both meet $B_h = h^{-1}(B)$, which is a section of f on H, they lie in distinct fibers of f. Let F_2 and F_3 be the respective fibers. The fiber F_2 meets l, as l is a section of f. Let l_1 denote the irreducible component of F_2 which meets l. As l_1 is reduced, there is another irreucible component of F_2, which is a (-1) curve. We denote this by M. Both l_1 and M are not in D_h, hence the singular fiber of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration f corresponding to F_2 is reducible. Similarly, we can argue that $F_3 \cap H - D_h$ is reducible. This contradicts (3) of Lemma 1.3. Hence $C = C'$ and C is smooth. □

Let C be a uni-cuspidal rational curve. By $n(C)$ denote the minimum number of blow-ups required so that the total transform of C is SNC.

Lemma 2.2. *Let the notations be as above. Let $C' \cdot C' > 0$ and C be singular. Then there exists a rational curve θ with cuspidal singularities in S^0 such that $n(C) > n(\theta)$. Also, θ passes through the cusps of C.*

Proof. We have assumed C to be singular, thus by Lemma 2.1, $C' \cdot C' > 1$. Let $h : H \to \tilde{S}$ denote a sequence of blowing up of infinitely near points of a smooth point of D on C' so that $C'_h \cdot C'_h = 0$. The exceptional locus of h is a linear chain of rational curves with self intersections: $[1, 2, ..., 2]$. Let the (-1) curve in the exceptional locus be denoted by L. As in Lemma 2.1, f is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ fibration on H and C'_h is a full fiber of f. The curves B_h and L are the disjoint sections of f. The chain of (-2) curves in the exceptional locus of h is in a fiber F of f. By the notation above, T_2 is also a linear chain of rational curves. We claim that both T_2 and $[2, 2, ..., 2]$ lie in F. To prove this claim, first note that $F \cap D_h$ has at least two connected components. If $F \cap D_h$ had exactly one connected component (i.e. $[2, ..., 2]$), then as in Lemma 2.1 $F \cap (H - D_h)$ would be reducible and if F_2 and F_3 are the singular fibers containing T_2 and T_3, then $F_i \cap (H - D_h)$ would also be reducible. This contradicts Lemma 1.3.

If both T_2 and T_3 are linear, the claim can be proved by interchanging T_2 and T_3. Assume therefore that T_3 is not linear and $T_3 \subset F$ and $[2, ..., 2] \subset F$. There is a curve J in F adjacent to $[2, ..., 2]$ which is not contained in D_h. Assume first that this is a (-1) curve. We blow

it down and the subsequent (-1) curves which arise from the $[2, ..., 2]$ chain. As there is a reduced irreducible component in the image of T_3 in this sequence of blow downs, there is another (-1) curve in F meeting T_3. This makes $F \cap (H - D_h)$ reducible. When J is not a (-1) curve, there is already another curve in F which is a (-1) curve and which is not contained in D_h. This makes $F \cap (H - D_h)$ reducible. On the other hand the fiber $T_2 \subset F_2$ is also such that $F_2 \cap (H - D_h)$ is reducible. This contradicts Lemma 1.3. Thus T_2 and $[2, ..., 2]$ are both in F and clearly $F \cap (H - D_h) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. It is easy to see that the curve θ in S, of which the (-1) curve $\theta' = F - (T_2 \cup [2, ..., 2])$ is the proper transform, is the required curve in the statement of the lemma such that $\theta'' \cdot \theta'' > 0$. Also note that T_2 is irreducible. □

Note that if θ is smooth then θ' is at the tip of the linear chain of rational curves $T_2 \cup B \cup T_3$, hence θ meets C transversally. This gives

Corollary 2.3. *Let the notation and hypothesis be as in Lemma 2.2, then there is a smooth rational curve $\theta \subset S^0$. Also, θ passes through the cusp of C and meets C transversally.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove the Theorem in several steps.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\overline{\kappa}(\tilde{S} - D) = 1$ then C has at most two cusps.*

Proof. Because $\overline{\kappa}(\tilde{S} - D) = 1$ there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ fibration f on $\tilde{S} - D$. Assume if possible that C has three or more cusps. Then it is clear that D has at least three (-1) curves each with three branches and none of them are adjacent to each other.These (-1) curves arise from the final blow up to resolve each cusp and make D a SNC divisor.

We claim that none of these (-1) curves can be contained in a fiber: Indeed if a (-1) curve l from D is contained in a fiber F of f then it cannot have branching number three in F. Thus at least one of the curves adjacent to l is horizontal to f. But this makes l a reduced (-1) curve in F. Hence l cannot have branching number two. Hence two curves adjacent to l are horizontal to f. None of them are (-1) curves, hence the other (-1) curves in D are in the fibers of f because there are only two components of D which are horizontal to f. Let l' be the other (-1) curve in D which is contained in a fiber F' of f. By a similar reasoning, two of the branches of l' are horizontal to f. Thus D contains a loop. This is not possible as D is a tree of rational curves. Hence none of the (-1) curves are in fibers of f. Hence all the (-1) curves in D are horizontal to f. But there are exactly two components

of f which are horizontal to D. Hence D cannot have more than two (-1) curves. Hence the number of cusps of D is at most two. □

The number of contractible curves on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane is of special interest. In [2] Gurjar-Miyanishi and Gurjar-Parameswaran [3] proved that if the logarithmic Kodaira dimension of a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane is 0 or 1 then the surface has at most two contractible curves. In [8], Miyanishi-Tsunoda proved the important result that a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane of general type does not contain any contractible curve. We will use these results to prove:

Lemma 3.2. *If $0 \leq \overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D - E) < 2$ then every $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration on $\widetilde{S} - D - E$ is untwisted.*

Proof. Note that given a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration f on $\widetilde{S} - D - E$, we can extend f to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration on $\widetilde{S} - D$. That is to say, E is contained in fibers of f. Indeed if E is not contained in the fibers of f then an irreducible component of E is horizontal to f. Hence there are infinitely many contractible curves in $S - C$. This shows that $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D) = -\infty$. Which is contrary to hypothesis.

Case 1. C is uni-cuspidal.
We use the description of D when C is uni-cuspidal.

Under the hypothesis of the Lemma, if C is uni-cuspidal then C', the proper transform of C, is not a (-1)-curve. Indeed if it is a (-1)-curve then we can blow it down and map D to a divisor with a non-contractible twig, which would imply that $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D) = -\infty$, as proved in [1, Lemma 6.13]. This is contrary to the hypothesis.

Assume that f is a twisted $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration on $\widetilde{S} - D - E$. By Lemma 1.3, the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration f is over $\mathbb{A}^1$. Further, all singular fibers of f are irreducible and exactly one of them is an affine line (if taken with reduced structure). In this case it is well known that the fiber at infinity is of the type [2,1,2].

Assume that f has no base points on D. Then B is the unique (-1)-curve in D and is contained in the fiber at infinity. Let θ denote the 2-section of f which is an irreducible component of D adjacent to B. We know that θ can have branching number at most three. Let θ have branching number three. By M_1 and M_2 we denote the two branches of θ other than B. Note that M_1 and M_2 contain no (-1)-curves. If M_1 and M_2 are in separate fibers then both fibers will contain an $\mathbb{A}^1$

for the fibration over $\widetilde{S} - D - E$. This contradicts Lemma 1.3. Hence M_1 and M_2 lie in the same singular fiber of f. Let F_0 denote the fiber of f containing both M_1 and M_2. It is clear that if $F_0 \cap (\widetilde{S} - D)$ contains an $\mathbb{A}^1$ then it contains at least one more irreducible component. This is not possible. Hence the branching number of θ is at most two. Therefore, the number of singular fibers of f is at most two. This gives us an open subset of $\widetilde{S} - D - E$ which is a twisted $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$. Thus $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D - E) = 0$. This is contrary to hypothesis.

We now prove that f has no base points on D to finish the proof in Case 1. If f has a base point on D, it has to be unique. Indeed if f has two base points on D then f is an untwisted fibration. Further, the base point of f will have to lie on B as B is the unique (-1)-curve in D with branching number three. Let D' be the total transform of D under the resolution of base locus. As the base point is on B, D' has a unique (-1)-curve which is horizontal to f. But the fiber at infinity must also contain a (-1)-curve. This contradiction shows that f has no base points on D if C is uni-cuspidal.

Case 2. C is bi-cuspidal.

We begin with a description of D when C is bi-cuspidal: By C' we denote the proper transform of C. The branching number of C' is two. It is adjacent to two (-1)-curves H_1 and H_2 both having branching number three. By B_{11} and B_{12} we denote the branches of H_1 other than C'. By B_{22} and B_{21} we denote the branches of H_2 other than C'. We can assume B_{11} and B_{22} to be linear. Also at least one of B_{12} or B_{11} and at least one of B_{21} or B_{22} has an irreducible component whose self intersection is less than -2. Both the branches of C' can be blown down to smooth points.

Assume that f has no base points on D. In this case there is a fiber at infinity of the type $[2, 1, 2]$. This is impossible by the description of D above.

The fibration f cannot have a base point on D: The base point of f on D will have to be unique and will have to lie on one of the (-1)-curves with branching number three. This forces the other (-1)-curve with branching number three to be in a singular fiber and have branching number three in the fiber. This is not possible. Hence f has no base points on D when C is bi-cuspidal and the Lemma is proved. $\square$

For our futher use, let us recall the notion of a *rivet* (see [1]) in a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration. Let $f : \widetilde{S} - D \to C$ be a C^*-fibration and let D_h denote the sum of components of D which are horizontal to f. Let F be a singular fiber of f. Then a connected component of $F \cap D$ is called a rivet if it meets D_h in more than one point.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D - E) = 1$ then the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration on $\widetilde{S} - D$ has no base points on D.*

Proof. Case 1. C is uni-cuspidal.

By hypothesis there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ fibration on $\widetilde{S} - D - E$ which we denote by f. If a component of E is horizontal to f then $S - C$ has infinitely many contractible curves, hence $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D) = -\infty$, which is contrary to hypothesis. Thus E is contained in the fibers of f. By Lemma 3.2, we know that f is untwisted. We claim that f extends to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration on $\widetilde{S}$. Suppose that f has a base point on D. Then at least one of the base points of f lies on B. If D' is the total transform of D under the resolution of base locus, let D'_{h_1} and D'_{h_2} be the two horizontal components of D'. We may assume D'_{h_1} to be a (-1)-curve with branching number at most 2 in D'. There is also a rivet R in D' for f. We claim that R is not a full fiber of f. Indeed if R were a full fiber of f, then R would have to contain a (-1)-curve from D'. This would force $C'^2 = -1$ and $C' \subset R$. If $C'^2 = -1$ in D' then $C'^2 = -1$ in D. By Corollary 2.3, $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D) = -\infty$ which is contrary to hypothesis. Thus R is not a full fiber of f. This shows that f is an untwisted $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration on $\widetilde{S} - D - E$ with base $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then by Lemma 1.3 all the fibers of f are irreducible and one of them is $\mathbb{A}^1$ which meets E. We claim that D'_{h_2} has branching number equal to the branching number of D'_{h_1}. If this is not true, then, one of the branches of D'_{h_1} or D'_{h_2} would lie in a fiber F of f such that $F \cap D'$ is connected. This would force $F \cap (\widetilde{S} - D - E)$ to be reducible, i.e. a union of $\mathbb{C}^*$ and an $\mathbb{A}^1$. This is a contradiction. Thus both D_{h_1} and D_{h_2} both have the same branching number. This gives us an open subset of $\widetilde{S} - D - E$ which is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$, so that $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D - E) = 0$, which is not true. Thus f has no base points on D.

Case 2. C is bi-cuspidal.

Let, if possible, f have base points on D and let D' be the total transform of D under the resolution of base locus. Let D'_{h_1} and D'_{h_2} be the

horizontal components of D' to f. We may assume that D'_{h_1} is a (-1)-curve and has branching number at most two in D'. While D'_{h_2} has branching number at most three. As D' is connected there is a rivet R of f in D'. Thus R forms one of the branches of both D'_{h_1} and D'_{h_2}.

We claim that D'_{h_2} has branching number at least two. Indeed, if R was the only branch of D'_{h_2}, then f would have at most two singular fibers as the branching number of D'_{h_1} is at most two. This will mean that there is an open subset of $\widetilde{S}-D-E$ which is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$. Thus, $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S}-D-E)=0$. This is contrary to hypothesis. We denote by M_2 the branch of D'_{h_2} other than R.

If the branching number of D'_{h_1} is one, then there is an open subset of $\widetilde{S}-D-E$ which is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$. This implies that $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S}-D-E)=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that the branching number of D'_{h_1} is two.

We further claim that M_2 has no (-1)-curve. If M_2 had a (-1)-curve in it then M_2 contains the proper transform of C' or H_1 or H_2. If the proper transform of C' is a (-1)-curve in D then C' will have to be in R because the base points of f can only lie on H_1 and H_2 and each H_i has at most one base point. Thus C' is not contained in M_2. If $H_1 \subset M_2$, then at least one of the irreducible components of D' adjacent to H_1 is horizontal to f, because H_1 has branching number three in D. This makes H_1 a reduced component of the fiber of f containing M_2. Hence H_1 cannot have branching number 2 in M_2. Thus H_1 is in R. Similarly, H_2 is not contained in M_2 This shows that M_2 has no (-1)-curves.

We have proved that D'_{h_1} has branching number two. Let M_1 be the branch of D'_{h_1} other than R. We claim that M_1 and M_2 lie in the same fiber of f. To see this, assume if possible that M_1 and M_2 are in different fibers of f, say F_1 and F_2. Then $F_1 \cap (\widetilde{S}-D-E)$ and $F_2 \cap (\widetilde{S}-D-E)$ are both reducible as M_1 and M_2 do not contain a (-1)-curve. This is impossible by Lemma 2.10 of [7] as there is at most one reducible fiber to f. Thus M_1 and M_2 are in the same fiber of f and yet again we get an open subset of $\widetilde{S}-D-E$ which is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$ which contradicts our hypothesis.

We may thus assume that D'_{h_2} has a third branch M_3 such that $M_3 \subset F_3$. It is easy to see that F_3 contains a reduced $\mathbb{C}^*$ which will again

produce an open set of $\widetilde{S} - D - E$ which is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ bundle over $\mathbb{C}^*$. This shows that f has no base points on D.

□

Lemma 3.4. *If $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D - E) = 1$ then there exists a smooth rational curve in S^0 passing through the cusps of C.*

Proof. Case 1. C is uni-csupidal.

By Lemma 3.3, f extends to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration on $\widetilde{S}$. Note that f has a rivet R. We can show that H is horizontal to f. Since H is the unique (-1)-curve in D, we see that R is not a full fiber of f since it does not contain any (-1)-curve. This implies that the base of the C^* fibration f is $\mathbb{P}^1$. Because H has branching number three, the other horizontal component of D, say H_2, must also have branching number three. As T_2 is a linear chain, H_2 must lie in T_3. One of the branches of H_2 is R. The other two branches will have to lie in singular fibers of f that contain C' and T_2. By Lemma 1.3, we can say that $F_1 = C' \cup l_1 \cup M_1$ and $F_2 = T_2 \cup l_2 \cup M_2$ are full fibers of f where l_1 and l_2 are (-1)-curves not in D and M_1 and M_2 are branches of H_2 other than R. The fibers F_1 and F_2 have unique (-1)-curves hence M_1 and M_2 are linear chains of rational curves. Because C' is an irreducible component of F_1, M_1 is a linear chain of (-2)-curves and M_2 is forced to be of the type $[m, 2, ..., 2]$ (a linear chain of rational curves with self-intersections $-m, -2, .., -2$) where the (-m) curve in M_2 meets H_2. This is because $D - C'$ blows down to a smooth point. Using this and by looking at the contraction of $D - C'$ to a smooth point and keeping track of the image of l_1 we see that l_1 is the proper transform of a smooth rational curve Γ on S such that $S - \Gamma$ contains the singular points of S.

Case 2. C is bi-cuspidal.

We use the description of D from Lemma 3.2, Case 2.

We first show that that H_1 and H_2 are the horizontal components of f: If H_1 is in a fiber of f, then at least one of the irreducible components of D, adjacent to H_1 should be a cross-section to f as H_1 has branching number three in D. But this means that H_1 is a reduced (-1)-curve in the fiber it belongs to. Hence it cannot have branching number two in the fiber. This forces two components of D adjacent to H_1 to be horizontal to f. Thus H_2 is in a fiber of f and at most one component of H_2 is a cross-section to f. Again, this is seen to be impossible.

As H_1 and H_2 are horizontal to f, B_{ij} are contained in fibers of f. We claim that at least three members of the set $\eta = (B_{ij})$ are linear chains of rational curves. We denote the fiber containing C' by F_0. We claim that if F_{ij} is a fiber containing B_{ij}, then F_{ij} has to contain one more element from η. If not then there are two elements of η which are the only elements of η in the respective fibers. Let F_{ij} and $F_{i'j'}$ be two such fibers. Both have a component not in D which meets H_1 or H_2. This shows that F_{ij} and $F_{i'j'}$ are both reducible as fibers of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ fibration. This is not possible by Lemma 1.3.

Assume that B_{11} and B_{22} are contained in the same fiber which we denote by F_1. Let F_2 denote the fiber containing B_{12} and B_{21}. If F_0 is not a full fiber then both F_1 and F_2 are irreducible as fibers of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ fibration. Thus both have a unique (-1) curve l_1 and l_2 in them. Also, $F_1 - l_1$ and $F_2 - l_2$ are unions of two connected components and each component has a reduced curve of the fiber. Hence by an observation of Palka, F_1 and F_2 are linear chains of rational curves. Thus every element of η is linear.

Next we assume that F_0 is a full fiber of f. In this case we may assume that F_2 is not irreducible as a fiber of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration. The fibration f on $\widetilde{S}$ has two singular fibers: $F_1 = B_{11} \cup B_{22} \cup l$ and $F_2 = B_{12} \cup B_{21} \cup l_1 \cup l_2 \cup E$, here l is a (-1)-curve not contained in D. We have the following possibilities for l_1 and l_2 both of which are outside D.

(1) l_1 and l_2 are affine lines and they intersect in a connected component of the exceptional locus of the resolution of a cyclic quotient singularity.
(2) l_1 is an affine line and l_2 is a $\mathbb{C}^*$.

In the first case, If l_1 and l_2 are affine lines meeting E which is a linear chain of rationa curves, it can be seen, again using the observation above, that B_{12} and B_{21} are linear. Also B_{11} and B_{22} are linear. Hence, all members of η are linear.

In the second case, we claim that l_1 is a (-1) curve. If not, then l_2 is the unique (-1) curve in the fiber and by Palka's observation F_2 is linear, a contradiction. Let l_1 be attached to B_{12}. We blow down l_1 and subsequent (-1) curves, in this process we do not end up blowing down the whole of B_{12}, for that would give us a non-contractible twig of the image of D. This would imply that $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D) = -\infty$. Which is contrary to hypothesis. This shows that after blowing down l_1 and

subsequent (-1) curves, the image of l_2 is a (-1) curve. Thus B_{21} is a linear chain of rational curves. Again B_{11} and B_{22} are linear because F_1 as a fiber of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibration is irreducible. This shows that at least 3 elements of η are linear.

Thus we may assume that F_1 is linear and B_{21} is linear. Thus $B_{21} \cup H_2 \cup B_{22}$ is linear. Then it is easy to see that l is the proper transform of a rational uni-cuspidal curve L under the resolution of the cusps of C. Further since $l \cdot l = -1$, if L' denotes the proper transform of L under the minimal SNC resolution of the cusp of L, then $L' \cdot L' \geq 1$. Hence by Corollary 2.3, there is a smooth rational curve in S^0.

□

To show that E is irreducible. It is enough to prove the following

Lemma 3.5. *Let (S, C) be a singular $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane pair. If C is smooth then E is irreducible.*

Proof. Recall that $S - C$ is affine. Hence $C^2 > 0$. We blow up a point on C and infinitely near points until the proper transform of C has self-intersection 0. Thus we have an $\mathbb{A}^1$-fibration f on $\widetilde{S} - D$ where D is the total transform of C under the sequence of blow-ups. The fibration f contains E in its fibers. We know that the chain of (-2)-curves resulting from the blow ups lies in a fiber F_0 of f. We claim that E is also contained in F_0. If some connected component of E is not contained in F_0 then let F_1 be the fiber of f that contains E_1, a connected component of E. The fiber F_1 has an irreducible component which meets the cross section of f in D. This component is reduced, hence there is another irreducible component of f which is a (-1)-curve and does not meet D. But E has no (-1)-curves and $\widetilde{S} - D$ can have no complete curves except those in E. This is a contradiction. Hence E is contained in F_0.

Next we claim that E is irreducible. We know that $F_0 \cap D$ is a linear chain of (-2)-curves. Let B denote the (-2)-curve which meets the cross section of the fibration. Let $\{E_i\}$ be the connected components of E in F_0. Since F_0 is a tree of rational curves there is a unique irreducible curve l_i in F_0 that meets E_i and $F_0 \cap D$ in one point each. Exactly one l_i is a (-1)-curve because each l_i intersects the linear chain of (-2)-curves. We blow down this (-1)-curve and subsequent (-1)-curves till B is mapped to a (-1)-curve under the blow downs. It is clear that if there are at least two l_i then the branching number of B is at least two. But B is a reduced curve in the fiber, hence it meets only one

other curve in F_0. This contradiction shows that there is exactly one l_i and hence at most one connected component of E. To see that E is irreducible we blow down the unique (-1)- curve in F_0 and subsequent (-1)-curves till B is mapped to a (-1)-curve. As B is reduced, there is another (-1)- curve in the image of F_0 which can only be the curve adjacent to the image of B. From this we see that E is irreducible.

□

To see that $S - \theta$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane we note that the unique (-1) curve in F_0 is the proper transform of a smooth rational curve which meets C in one point. Thus the loop around θ in $S-\theta$ can be assumed to lie on an $\mathbb{A}^1$ in $\widetilde{S}$ which is topologically contractible. Thus the fundamental group of $\widetilde{S}-\theta$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(\widetilde{S})$ which is trivial because $\widetilde{S}$ is a rational surface ([10] and [4]). But the fundamental group of S' and $\widetilde{S}$ are isomorphic as E is a tree of rational curves. Hence $S - \theta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology plane.

This completes the proof of Corolary 1.2.

4. Examples

4.1. **An Example.** Consider a cubic C on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with a single cusp. Let T be the tangent line to C at the cusp. Let L be the tangent to C at a smooth point of inflection. Let $p = T \cap L$, clearly p is not on C. We blow up at p. We have a fibration with the proper transforms of T and L are fibers. Let q be the point where the proper transform L' of L and E meet. Here E is the exceptional curve. We perform elementary transforms by blowing up at the point q and blowing down the proper transform of L. One can see that $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D) = 1$ and $\overline{\kappa}(\widetilde{S} - D - E) = 1$.

References

[1] Takao Fujita. On the topology of noncomplete algebraic surfaces. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 29(3):503–566, 1982.

[2] R. V. Gurjar and M. Miyanishi. Affine surfaces with $\overline{\kappa} \leq 1$. In *Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I*, pages 99–124. Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.

[3] R. V. Gurjar and A. J. Parameswaran. Affine lines on **Q**-homology planes. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 35(1):63–77, 1995.

[4] R. V. Gurjar, C. R. Pradeep, and Anant. R. Shastri. On rationality of logarithmic **Q**-homology planes. II. *Osaka J. Math.*, 34(3):725–743, 1997.

[5] Shigeru Iitaka. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 76 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 24.
[6] János Kollár. Is there a topological Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality? *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 4(2, part 1):203–236, 2008.
[7] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie. Homology planes with quotient singularities. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 31(3):755–788, 1991.
[8] M. Miyanishi and S. Tsunoda. Absence of the affine lines on the homology planes of general type. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 32(3):443–450, 1992.
[9] S. Yu. Orevkov. On rational cuspidal curves. I. Sharp estimate for degree via multiplicities. *Math. Ann.*, 324(4):657–673, 2002.
[10] C. R. Pradeep and Anant R. Shastri. On rationality of logarithmic **Q**-homology planes. I. *Osaka J. Math.*, 34(2):429–456, 1997.

Sagar Kolte
Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai.
sagar@math.iitb.ac.in